UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,376	01/30/2004	Edward Reiss	1189P2755	6525
23504 WEISS & MO	7590 06/14/2007 SS & MOY PC			IINER
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
SCOTTSDALI	SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/768,376	REISS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michele Kidwell	3761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	April 2007.					
		s action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowa	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dee the ditabled detailed enter deficit in a list of the definited depicts not rederved.							
A441	Was						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						
- aper roots in take 0) [ ] Other							

Application/Control Number: 10/768,376

Art Unit: 3761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 13, 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiss et al. (US 5,743,895) and further in view of Cook et al. (US 5,562,740).

With respect to claim 1, Reiss et al. (hereinafter "Reiss") discloses a disposable diaper comprising an exterior layer comprising a non-woven material having a planar, soft, cloth-like surface layer, a core layer comprising a wood pulp, a containment layer coupled to a fist side an a second side of the core layer, a distribution layer coupled to

Application/Control Number: 10/768,376

Art Unit: 3761

the containment layer and an interior layer coupled to the distribution layer comprising a non-woven liquid permeable layer as set forth in col. 2, line 55 to col. 3, line 4.

The difference between Reiss and claim 1 is the provision that the core layer, the containment layer and the distribution layer is made of a non-chlorine bleached material.

Cook et al. (hereinafter "Cook") teaches a non-chlorinated bleached wood pulp as set forth in the abstract and in col. 5, line 63 to col. 6, line 3.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a non-chlorinated bleached wood pulp because it is well known in the art that wood pulp may be bleached in order to achieve brightness and increase consumer appeal as taught by Cook in col. 5, lines 62 – 63.

Likewise, it is well known in the art that the use of elemental chlorine as a bleaching agent has decreased because it is difficult to handle and potentially hazardous to both the mill personnel and equipment. Additionally, the use of chlorine as a bleaching agent has decreased due to the concern expressed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency that the element might be toxic to humans and animals.

As to claims 2, Reiss discloses a diaper further comprising a leakage protection layer coupled to the exterior layer for preventing waste material from leaking out of the disposable diaper as set forth in figure 3.

As to claim 3, see the rejection of claim 1.

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claims 4, 10 and 16, Reiss discloses a disposable diaper having a multi-layered diaper assembly wherein the core layer further comprises a superabsorbent mixture as set forth in col. 4, lines 29 – 41.

With respect to claims 5 - 6, 8, 11 - 12, 14, 17 - 18 and 20, the examiner contends that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the exterior layer to provide the claimed materials because the use of such materials is well known in the art.

As to claims 7, 13 and 18, wee the rejection of claim 1.

With reference to claims 9 and 15, see the rejection of claim 1.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Cook states that completely bleached, partially bleached and unbleached fibers are applicable. The examiner contends that If one of ordinary skill in the art is able to "at once envisage" the specific embodiment within the generic teaching, the embodiment is anticipated. See MPEP 2131.02.

The examiner contends that Cook utilizes fibers bleached with hydrogen peroxide. All fibers utilized by Cook are suitable for use in any and all layers of the absorbent article including the containment layer and the distribution layer.

Cook discloses the use of absorbent articles in col. 1, lines 27 - 31.

Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele Kidwell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3761